

Message

From: Calli, Rosemary [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=E17C62EB477B48CFA42C0CEDD631C005-HALL, ROSEMARY]
Sent: 8/12/2020 3:28:15 PM
To: Jeaneanne Gettle [Gettle.Jeaneanne@epa.gov]
CC: Thomas McGill (Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov) [Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov]; Kelly Laycock (Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov) [laycock.kelly@epa.gov]; Matt Hicks (Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov) [Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: NHPA talking points

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Rosemary (Hall) Calli
Section Chief, Wetlands & Streams Regulatory Section
Aquatic Ecotoxicologist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV

404.562.9846
Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov

From: McGill, Thomas <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2020 8:36 AM
To: Gettle, Jeaneanne <Gettle.Jeaneanne@epa.gov>; Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>
Cc: Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: NHPA talking points

I think 90 days is a good target for Mary to communicate in her meeting to the Council in her meeting. Completing it within 90 days may be ambitious, as we don't know the volume of comments we'll receive on NHPA and won't know definitively when the comment period (depends on the publication date of the FR). Please let us know if you need any additional information.

From: Gettle, Jeaneanne <Gettle.Jeaneanne@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 12, 2020 7:30 AM
To: Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>
Cc: Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; McGill, Thomas <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: NHPA talking points

Is 90 days better?

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 12, 2020, at 7:28 AM, Gettle, Jeaneanne <Gettle.Jeaneanne@epa.gov> wrote:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Jmg

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 11, 2020, at 10:28 PM, Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov> wrote:

Certainly. I'm preparing a separate email with major milestones of the timeline, including highlighting NHPA, but would like Kelly, Tom, and/or Matt to be able to take a look in the morning with fresh eyes.

Do the additions below (highlighted) weave in what you have in mind for these?

From: Gettle, Jeaneanne <Gettle.Jeaneanne@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 11, 2020 7:47 PM
To: Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>
Cc: Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; McGill, Thomas <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: NHPA talking points

Rosemary and Tom

Can we put in a few more details on timing. For instance what would we want to target as completing the agreement.

Thanks
Jeaneanne

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 11, 2020, at 6:03 PM, Calli, Rosemary
<Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov> wrote:

Jeaneanne – The following are recommended talking points on NHPA
for Mary's meeting:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Please let us know if you need any more on this. I'll send timeline details via a separate message.

~ Rosemary

Rosemary (Hall) Calli
Section Chief, Wetlands & Streams Regulatory Section
Aquatic Ecotoxicologist
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV

404.562.9846

Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov